

Petitioner, proceeding pro se, filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, on January 10, 2005. (Doc. 1).

On January 11, 2006, the Magistrate Judge assigned to the case filed a Report and Recommendation recommending the petition for writ of habeas corpus be DISMISSED for failure to state a claim and as moot because Petitioner is no longer in custody of Respondent.

1 (Doc. 67). This Report and Recommendation was served on all parties and contained notice that  
2 any objections were to be filed within thirty days from the date of service of that order. To date,  
3 the parties have not filed timely objections to the Report and Recommendation.

4 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted  
5 a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes that  
6 the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is supported by the record and proper  
7 analysis.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 9 1. The Report and Recommendation, filed January 11, 2006 (Doc. 67), is  
10 ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 11 2. This petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 1), is DISMISSED for failure to state  
12 a claim as it is now MOOT;
- 13 3. Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time (Doc. 66), is DENIED as MOOT;
- 14 4. All pending motions filed by Petitioner (Docs. 17, 21, 27, 35, 48, 54, & 59), are  
15 DENIED as MOOT; and,
- 16 5. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to ENTER JUDGMENT.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: March 3, 2006  
668554

/s/ Robert E. Coyle  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE